		•
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/567,736	MAILLARD, FREDERIC
	Examiner	Art Unit
,	James R. Brittain	3677
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Amended after non-final</u>	
(1) <u>James R. Brittain</u> .	(3)	
(2) Nicolas E. Seckel.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 June 2007	Time: <u>2:30</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 12 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summater</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writt record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

**Application No. 10/567,736** 

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Mr. Seckel and we agreed to change the dependence of claim 12 so as to depend from claim 19 so that claim 12 would be of different scope from claim 6. We also agreed to make minor changes to the language of the abstract and specification so as to conform with Office practice..